WIGNER TRANSFORM AND QUANTUM-LIKE CORRECTIONS FOR CHARGED-PARTICLE BEAM TRANSPORT

R. FEDELE and V. I. MAN'KO a

Dip. di Scienze Fisiche, Universitá "Federico II" and INFN, Napoli, Italy

It is shown that charged-particle beam transport in the paraxial approximation can be effectively described with a quantum-like picture in *semiclassical approximation*. In particular, the classical Liouville equation can be suitably replaced by a von Neuman-like equation. Relevant remarks concerning the standard classical description of the beam transport are given.

It is well known that thermal spreading among the electronic rays is a typical effect that takes place in charged-particle beam transport in free space. In 2-D case, we denote with x and z the transverse and beam propagation coordinates, respectively. By using a statistical description, one can introduce with the second-order moments: $\sigma_x(z) = \langle x^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, $\sigma_p(z) = \langle p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, and $\sigma_{xp} = \langle xp \rangle$ ($p \equiv dx/dz$ being a dimensionless single-particle linear momentum conjugate of x, where z plays the role of a time-like variable) the following diffusion coefficient called the emittance, $ext{1} \epsilon = 2 \left[\langle x^2 \rangle \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle xp \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2}$, which for linear lens and in free space is an invariant. From this expression, in particular, we have $\sigma_x \sigma_p \geq \epsilon/2$, which represents a sort of uncertainty relation even if the particle beam is a classical system. It is easy to prove that $ext{1} \epsilon (\epsilon/2) = v_{\text{th}} \sigma_0/c$, where $ext{1} \epsilon v_{\text{th}} c v_{\text{th}}$

Thus, for finite temperature the determination of an electronic ray at the arbitrary x-position of the transverse plane given at each z is affected by an intrinsic uncertainty that cannot be reduced to zero. For a finite emittance, we need to assign a probability, say $P_x(x,z)$, (in principle, positive and finite) of finding an electronic ray at the transverse location x in the plane for given z. To this end, we introduce the phase-space density distribution $\rho(\overline{x}, p, \overline{z})$, which obeys to the following classical Liouville equation for the electronic rays,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \overline{z}} + p \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \overline{x}} - \left(\frac{\partial \overline{U}}{\partial \overline{x}}\right) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = 0, \quad \overline{z} \equiv \frac{z}{2\sigma_0}, \quad \overline{x} \equiv \frac{x}{2\sigma_0},$$

 $\overline{U} = \overline{U}(\overline{x}, \overline{z})$ being an effective potential acting on the system. Since for finite emittance the indistinguishability among two or more rays due to the thermal

^aPermanent address: P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

spreading is of the order of $\eta \equiv \epsilon/2\sigma_0 = v_{\rm th}/c \ll 1$, $\partial \overline{U}/\partial \overline{x}$ can be conveniently replaced by a symmetrized Schwarz-like finite difference ratio

$$\frac{\partial \overline{U}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \approx \frac{\overline{U}(\overline{x} + \eta/2) - \overline{U}(\overline{x} - \eta/2)}{\eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}.$$

This transition based on physical arguments is partially a change of partial differential equation to a differential-difference equation, which may be considered as ansatz of a deformation of the Liouville equation. Furthermore, given the smallness of η , multiplying by the imaginary unit i both numerator and denominator of the last term of the l.h.s., we have

$$\frac{\overline{U}(\overline{x}+\eta/2)-\overline{U}(\overline{x}-\eta/2)}{i\eta}\ i\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\approx\frac{1}{i\eta}\left[\overline{U}\left(\overline{x}+\frac{i\eta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right)-\overline{U}\left(\overline{x}-\frac{i\eta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right)\right].$$

Thus, going back to the old variables x and z, it finally results that the classical Liouville equation is *deformed* in the following von Neumann equation

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{i}{\epsilon} \left[U \left(x + i \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right) - U \left(x - i \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right) \right] \right\} \rho_w = 0, \quad (1)$$

where the deformed distribution function $\rho_w(x, p, z)$ is a sort of Wigner-like function. It is obvious, that Eq. (1) has the form of a quantum-like phase-space equation for electronic rays, where \hbar and the time t are replaced by the emittance ϵ and the propagation coordinate z, respectively. However, some aspects have to be discussed.

(i). Since
$$\overline{U}(\overline{x} + \frac{i\eta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}) - \overline{U}(\overline{x} - \frac{i\eta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}) = \frac{\partial \overline{U}}{\partial \overline{x}} i\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + O\left(\eta^3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial p^3}\right)$$
,

the above approximation is equivalent to assume that terms $O\left(\eta^3\,\partial^3/\partial p^3\right)$ are small corrections compared to the lower-order ones, according to the paraxial approximation. Consequently, from the quantum-like point of view, approximation obtained by the above deformation plays the role analogous to the one played by semiclassical approximation.³

(ii). While $\rho(x, p, z)$ is introduced in a classical framework and it is positive definite, the function $\rho_w(x, p, z)$ is introduced in a quantum-like framework, which plays the role of an effective description taking into account the thermal spreading among the electronic rays. In this context, $\rho_w(x, p, z)$ cannot be used to give information within the phase-space cells with size smaller than ϵ , due to the intrinsic uncertainty exhibited by the system for finite temperatures, i.e., due to the indistinguishability among the electronic rays. Consequently, we would expect that ρ_w violates the positivity definiteness within

some phase-space regions. This means that, in analogy with quantum mechanics, $\rho_w(x,p,z)$ can be defined as *quasi distribution*, even its x- and p-projections are actually configuration-space and momentum-space distributions, respectively. Remarkably, from the above results it follows that it can exist a complex function, say $\Psi(x,z)$ such that $P_x(x,z) = \Psi(x,z)\Psi^*(x,z)$, which is connected with ρ_w by means of a Wigner-like transform (for pure states). Consequently, $\Psi(x,z)$ must obey to the following Schrödinger-like equation:

$$i\epsilon(\partial\Psi/\partial z) = -(\epsilon^2/2)\partial^2\Psi/\partial x^2 + U(x,z)\Psi$$
 , (2)

which has been the starting point to construct the quantum-like approach called the thermal wave model (TWM). This way, the beam as a whole is thought as a single quantum-like particle whose diffraction-like spreading due to the emittance (the analogous of \hbar) accounts for the thermal spreading.

Thus, we have given a sort of Wigner-like pictures behind the electronic ray evolution and then recovered TWM in semiclassical approximation. Consequently, solutions of (2) for Ψ in semiclassical approximation can give solutions for the deformed equation through Wigner transform. It is clear that for finite emittance but in the case in which, for $s \geq 3$, $(\epsilon/2)^2 \partial^2 \rho_w/\partial p^2 \gg (\epsilon/2)^s \partial^s \rho_w/\partial p^s$ for $s \geq 3$, (1) and its classical couterpart formally coincide for an arbitrary (anharmonic) potential; the similarity between ρ and ρ_w does not take place for all the states. This makes evident that for an arbitrary potential and, in particular, for a linear lens (harmonic oscillator) ρ_w contains and ρ does not contain a quantum-like effect. Worthy noting that, in analogy with the tomography approach in quantum mechanics and quantum optics, we could state that in the above quantum-like approach there is a possibility to transit from Liouville equation to an equation for a positive marginal distribution, which has standard classical features.

References

- 1. J. Lawson, *The Physics of Charged-Particle Beams* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2nd edition, 1988).
- 2. E. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 40, 749 (1932).
- 3. E.J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 3339 (1977).
- R. Fedele, F. Galluccio, V.I. Man'ko, and G. Miele, *Phys. Lett.* A 209, 263 (1995).
- 5. R. Fedele and G. Miele, *Nuovo Cimento D* **13**, 1527 (1991).
- S. Mancini, V.I. Man'ko, and P. Tombesi, Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 7, 615 (1995).